arXiv:math/0604234v8 [math.AP] 27 Apr 2006

Nonexistence of asymptotically self-similar singularities in the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations

Dongho Chae*
Department of Mathematics
Sungkyunkwan University
Suwon 440-746, Korea
e-mail: *chae@skku.edu*

Abstract

In this paper we rule out the possibility of asymptotically self-similar singularities for both of the 3D Euler and the 3D Navier-Stokes equations. The notion means that the local in time classical solutions of the equations develop self-similar profiles as t goes to the possible time of singularity T. For the Euler equations we consider the case where the vorticity converges to the corresponding self-similar voriticity profile in the sense of the critical Besov space norm, $\dot{B}^0_{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For the Navier-Stokes equations the convergence of the velocity to the self-similar singularity is in $L^q(B(z,r))$ for some $q\in[2,\infty)$, where the ball of radius r is shrinking toward a possible singularity point z at the order of $\sqrt{T-t}$ as t approaches to T. In the $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ convergence case with $q\in[3,\infty)$ we present a simple alternative proof of the similar result in [16].

---

[*] This work was supported partially by the KOSEF Grant no. R01-2005-000-10077-0.
Keywords: Euler equations, Navier-Stokes equations, self-similar singularity
2000 AMS Subject Classification: 35Q30, 35Q35, 76Dxx, 76Bxx

1 Introduction

The problems of global in time regularity/finite time singularity in the 3D Euler equations and the 3D Navier-Stokes equations are among the most important and at the same time the most challenging open problems in the mathematical fluid mechanics(see e.g. [9, 10, 22, 23]). For rather general introduction to the mathematical theories of the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations we refer [22, 8, 32, 12, 19]. Although there are many partial progresses for the Euler equations(e.g. [1, 11]) and for the Navier-Stokes equations(e.g. [2, 30, 26, 29, 13, 21]), the solutions to the problem still look too far to be seen. On the other hand, in many of the nonlinear partial differential equations where the finite time singularity is searched for, one of the most popular scenario to check is by the self-similar ansatz, consistent with the scaling properties of the equations. For the 3D Navier-Stokes this type of possibility leading to a self-similar singularity was first considered by Leray in [20], and its nonexistence was proved in [25], and the result was later refined by the authors in [34, 24]. For the 3D Euler equations similar nonexistence result has been recently obtained by the author of this article in [3]. More refined notion of 'asymptotically self-similar singularity' is considered by the authors in [14], in the context of the nonlinear scalar heat equation, and also by physicists including the authors of [15, 28] in the context of 3D Euler equations. It means that the local in time smooth solution evolves into a self-similar profile as the possible singularity time is approached. The meaning of it will be more clear in the statements of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 below. For the 3D Navier-Stokes equations the similar notion was considered rather indirectly by Hou and Li([16]), and they obtained the nonexistence result, assuming that the convergence of the local in time smooth solution to the self-similar profile occurs in the $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ sense in terms of the self-similar variables with $3 < q < \infty$. In this paper, concerning the case of Navier-Stokes equations, we prove nonexistence result for the asymptotically self-similar singularity, for which our convergence of the local classical solution to the self-similar singularity is in $L^q(B(z,r))$ sense with more flexible range of $q \in [2, \infty)$, where the ball of radius $r \propto \sqrt{T-t}$ is shrinking to a possible singularity point z as t approaches to T. This could be regarded as a localized version of the corresponding result of [16]. Moreover, in the global convergence case in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we present here an alternative simple proof of [16], using a classical result on the blow-up rate estimate due to Leray in [20]. We apply our argument also to prove nonexistence of asymptotically self-similar singularity for the 3D Euler equations. Here, the use of critical Besov space $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which is slightly more regular than $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, is crucial to obtain our results. The remaining part of introduction will be divided into two subsections, and we provide some preliminaries on the Besov spaces, and state the main theorems for the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations respectively.

1.1 The Euler Equations

The system of Euler equations for the homogeneous incompressible fluid flows in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the following.

$$\text{(E)}\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v\cdot\nabla)v = -\nabla p, \quad (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,\infty) \\ \text{div }\ v = 0, \quad (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,\infty) \\ v(x,0)=v_0(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

where $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)$, $v_j=v_j(x,t)$, $j=1,2,3$, is the velocity of the flow, $p=p(x,t)$ is the scalar pressure, and v_0 is the given initial velocity, satisfying div $v_0=0$. We introduce briefly the homogeneous Besov spaces, $\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its inhomogeneous counterpart, $B^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For more details on the Besov spaces we refer [33]. Given $f\in\mathcal{S}$, the Schwartz class of rapidly deceasing functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$, its Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)=\hat{f}(\xi)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi}f(x)dx.$$

We consider $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}$ satisfying the following three conditions:

(i) $\text{Supp}\,\hat{\varphi}\subset\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n\,|\,\frac12\le|\xi|\le2\}$,

(ii) $\hat{\varphi}(\xi)\ge C>0$ if $\frac23<|\xi|<\frac32$,

(iii) $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\hat{\varphi}_j(\xi)=1$, where $\hat{\varphi}_j=\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}\xi)$.

Construction of such sequence of functions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is well-known(see e.g. [33]). Given $s\in\mathbb{R}$, the norm of the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is now defined by

$$f\in\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\Longleftrightarrow\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}}:=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{sj}\|\varphi_j*f\|_{L^\infty}<\infty,$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution, $(f*g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y)dy$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}}$ is actually defined up to addition of polynomials(namely, if $f_1 - f_2$ is a polynomial, then both of f_1 and f_2 give the same norm), and the space $\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the quotient space of a class of functions with finite norm, $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}^s_{\infty,1}}$, divided by the space of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let us set $\hat{\Phi}(\xi) = \sum_{k\leq -1} \varphi_k(\xi)$ if $\xi \neq 0$, and define $\hat{\Phi}(0) = 1$. Then the inhomogeneous Besov space is defined by

$$f \in B^s_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longleftrightarrow \|f\|_{B^s_{\infty,1}} := \|\Phi * f\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{j\geq 0} 2^{sj}\|\varphi_j * f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty.$$

Note that the condition (iii) and above definitions imply immediately that both of the spaces $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuously embedded into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space $B^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in particular, actually can be embedded into the class of continuous bounded functions, thus having slightly better regularity than $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, but containing as a subspace the Hölder space $C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any $\gamma > 0$. We begin with statement of a new type of continuation principle for local in time classical solutions of the Euler system.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $v \in C([0,T); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to the 3D Euler equations. There exists an absolute constant $\eta > 0$ such that if*

$$\inf_{0\leq t<T} (T-t)\|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \eta, \tag{1.1}$$

the, $v \in C([0,T+\delta); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Remark 1.1 The proof of the local existence for $v_0 \in B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is implied in the proofs of the main theorems in [5, 6](see also [35]), and explicitly written in [27]. The above theorem implies that if T_* is the first time of singularity, then we have the lower estimate of the blow-up rate,

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} \geq \frac{C}{T_* - t} \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*) \tag{1.2}$$

for an absolute constant C. The estimate (1.2) was actually derived previously by a different argument in [6]. We observe that (1.2) is consistent both with the Beale-Kato-Majda criterion([1]) and with Kerr's numerical calculation in [18] respectively.

Remark 1.2 The above continuation principle for a local solutions in $B^1_{\infty,1}$ has obvious application to the solutions belonging to more conventional function spaces, due to the embeddings,

$$H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow C^{1,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

for $m > 5/2$ and $\gamma = m - 3/2$. For example the local solution $v \in C([0,T); H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))$ can be continued to be $v \in C([0,T+\delta); H^m(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some δ, if (1.1) is satisfied.

Regarding an implication of the above theorem on the self-similar blowing up solution to the 3D Euler equations, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1 *Let $v \in C([0,T); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to the 3D Euler equations. There exists $\eta > 0$ such that if we have representation for the vorticity $\omega =$curl v by*

$$\omega(x,t) = \frac{1}{T-t}\bar{\Omega}\left(\frac{x}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}\right) \qquad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (t_0, T)$$

for some $t_0 \in (0,T)$, where $\bar{\Omega}=$curl $\bar{V}$ satisfies $\|\bar{\Omega}\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,0}} < \eta$, then $\bar{\Omega} = 0$, and $v \in C([0,T+\delta); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

The following theorem exclude the possibility of a type of asymptotically self-similar singularity for the 3D Euler equations.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $v \in C([0,T); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to the 3D Euler equations. Suppose there exist $p_1 > 0$, $\alpha > -1$, $\bar{V} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\bar{\Omega} =$curl $\bar{V} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $q \in (0, p_1)$, and*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T}(T-t)\left\|\omega(\cdot,t) - \frac{1}{T-t}\bar{\Omega}\left(\frac{\cdot}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}\right)\right\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} = 0. \qquad (1.3)$$

Then, $\bar{\Omega} = 0$, and $v \in C([0,T+\delta); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Remark 1.3 Although we used the Besov space $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the vorticities in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, it would be interesting to see if one could prove similar results with $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ replaced by a slightly larger space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark 1.4 We note that Theorem 1.2 still does not exclude the possibility that the vorticity convergence to the asymptotically self-similar singularity is weaker than $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}$ sense. Namely, a self-similar vorticity profile could be approached from a local classical solution in the pointwise sense in space, or in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ sense for some p with $1 \le p \le \infty$.

1.2 The Navier-Stokes Equations

Here we are concerned on the following 3D Navier-Stokes equations.

$$\text{(NS)} \begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla) v = -\nabla p + \Delta v, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,\infty) \\ \text{div } v = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,\infty) \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

We first state a continuation principle for local in time $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. Below, we denote the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm of f by $\|f\|_{L^p}$.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $p \in [3,\infty)$, and $v \in C([0,T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to (NS). There exists a constant $\eta > 0$ depending on p such that if*

$$\inf_{0 \le t < T} (T-t)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \|v(t)\|_{L^p} < \eta, \tag{1.4}$$

then, $v \in C([0, T+\delta); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Remark 1.5 Given $v_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $p \in [3,\infty)$, the existence and the uniqueness of local in time classical solution $v \in C([0,T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ are established by Kato in [17]; moreover, the solution is smooth for all $t \in (0,T)$.

Similarly to Corollary 1.1 we can reproduce the results of [25, 34] easily under the assumption of additional smallness condition.

Corollary 1.2 *Let $p \in [3,\infty)$, and $v \in C([0,T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to (NS). There exists a constant $\eta > 0$ depending on p such that if*

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \bar{V}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (t_0, T)$$

for some $t_0 \in (0,T)$, where $\bar{V}$ satisfies $\|\bar{V}\|_{L^p} < \eta$, then $\bar{V} = 0$, and $v \in C([0, T+\delta); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

The following theorem for the case $p \in (3, \infty)$ was obtained by Hou and Li in [16]. In the next section we present an alternative proof, which is very simple and elementary compared to the one given in [16].

Theorem 1.4 *Let $p \in [3, \infty)$, and $v \in C([0, T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to (NS). Suppose there exists $\bar{V} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \left\| v(\cdot, t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \bar{V} \left(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right) \right\|_{L^p} = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Then, $\bar{V} = 0$, and $v \in C([0, T+\delta); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$.

We now consider a version of localization of Theorem 1.4, in which we consider the case where the local smooth solution converges to a self-similar profile in a shrinking ball with the radius proportional to $\sqrt{T-t}$ as $t \nearrow T$. We denote $B(z, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x - z| < r\}$ below.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $p \in [3, \infty)$, and $v \in C([0, T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a classical solution to (NS). Suppose either one of the followings hold.*

(i) Let $q \in [3, \infty)$. Suppose there exists $\bar{V} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that we have

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t<\tau<T} \left\| v(\cdot, \tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-\tau}} \bar{V} \left(\frac{\cdot - z}{\sqrt{T-\tau}} \right) \right\|_{L^q(B(z, R\sqrt{T-t}))} = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

(ii) Let $q \in [2, 3)$. Suppose there exists $\bar{V} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that (1.6) holds for all $R \in (0, \infty)$.

Then, $\bar{V} = 0$, and $v(x, t)$ is Hölder continuous near (z, T) in the space and the time variables.

Remark 1.7 We note that, in contrast to Theorem 1.4, the range of $q \in [2, 3)$ is also allowed for the possible convergence of the local classical solution to the self-similar profile.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.5(i) we have the following result, which is a local version of [25, 34].

Corollary 1.3 *Let* $p \in [3, \infty)$*, and* $v \in C([0,T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *be a classical solution to (NS). Suppose there exists* $\bar{V} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and* $r > 0$ *such that we have the representation,*

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \bar{V} \left(\frac{x-z}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right) \quad \forall (x,t) \in B(z,r) \times (T-r^2, T).$$

Then, $\bar{V} = 0$*, and* $v(x,t)$ *is Hölder continuous near* (z,T) *in the space and the time variables.*

Remark 1.8 We note that the above corollary can be also deduced by a different reasoning from the above, based on the results of [25, 34] combined with simple scaling argument, which is done in [4].

2 Proofs for the Euler equations

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We start from the following basic a priori estimates in $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for the solution $v \in C([0,T); B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of the Euler equations(see e.g. [5, 7]), which is a preliminary step to establish the local existence in $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For the inhomogeneous norm we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \leq C \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|v(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}}. \tag{2.1}$$

By Gronwall's lemma applied to (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} &\leq \|v_0\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \exp\left[C \int_0^t \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau \right] \\ &\leq \|v_0\|_{B^1_{\infty,1}} \exp\left[C \int_0^t \|\omega(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}} d\tau \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where we used the embedding inequality, $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. On the other hand, for the homogeneous norms we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}} \leq C \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}} \leq C \|v(t)\|^2_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}}. \tag{2.3}$$

The Gronwall lemma applied to (2.3) provides us with

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}} \leq \frac{\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}}}{1 - Ct \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Translating in time, using the equivalence of norms, $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1_{\infty,1}} \simeq \|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}}$, we have instead of (2.4)

$$\|\omega(T_1)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} \leq \frac{C_1\|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}}}{1 - C_2(T_1 - t)\|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}}} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $T_1 \in (0, T)$ and $t \in [0, T_1)$, where C_1, C_2 are absolute constants. We set $\eta = \frac{1}{2}C_2$. For such η, we suppose (1.1) holds true. Then, there exists $t_1 \in [0, T)$ such that $(T - t_1)\|\omega(t_1)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \eta$. Fixing $t = t_1$, and passing $T_1 \nearrow T$ in (2.5), we find that

$$\limsup_{T_1 \nearrow T} \|\omega(T_1)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} \leq 2C_1\|\omega(t_1)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \infty.$$

Hence, $\int_0^T \|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} dt < \infty$, and by (2.2), we firstly have a continuation of local in time solution $v(\cdot, t)$ up to $t = T$, and $v \in C([0, T]; B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. By the local existence theorem applied to the initial data at T, we can continue further the solution $v(\cdot, t)$ until $t = T + \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.1 We just observe that $(T - t)\|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} = \|\bar{\Omega}\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}}$ for all $t \in (0, T)$. Hence, our smallness condition, $\|\bar{\Omega}\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \eta$, implies that $\inf_{0<t<T}(T - t)\|\omega(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \eta$. Applying Theorem 1.1, we conclude our proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 We change variables from $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$ into $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$y = \frac{x}{(T - t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}, \quad s = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \log\left(\frac{T}{T - t}\right).$$

We note that this type of introduction of similarity variables was previously used in [14] in the context of nonlinear heat equation. Based on this change of variables, we transform $(v, p) \mapsto (V, P)$ according to

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{(T - t)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} V(y, s), \quad p(x, t) = \frac{1}{(T - t)^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1}}} P(y, s). \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting (v,p) into the (E) we obtain the equivalent evolution equation for (V,P),

$$
(E_1)\begin{cases} V_s+\alpha V+(y\cdot\nabla)V+(\alpha+1)(V\cdot\nabla)V=-\nabla P,\\ \operatorname{div} V=0,\\ V(y,0)=V_0(y)=T^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}v_0(T^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}y). \end{cases}
$$

In terms of V the condition (1.3) is translated into

$$
\lim_{s\to\infty}\|\Omega(\cdot,s)-\bar{\Omega}(\cdot)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}}=0, \tag{2.7}
$$

where we set $\Omega=\text{curl } V$. Combining this with the embedding, $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the fact that the Calderon-Zygmund singular integral operator maps $\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ into itself boundedly, we obtain

$$
\lim_{s\to\infty}\|\nabla V(\cdot,s)-\nabla\bar{V}(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty}=0. \tag{2.8}
$$

Similarly to [16], we consider the scalar test function $\xi\in C^1_0(0,1)$ with $\int_0^1\xi(s)ds\neq 0$, and the vector test function $\phi=(\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3)\in C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with div $\phi=0$. We multiply the first equation of (E_1) in the dot product by $\xi(s-n)\phi(y)$, and integrate it over $\mathbb{R}^3\times[n,n+1]$, and then we integrate by part for the terms including the time derivative and the for the pressure term to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\xi_s(s)\phi(y)\cdot V(y,s+n)dyds\\
&+\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\xi(s)\phi(y)\cdot[\alpha V+(y\cdot\nabla)V+(\alpha+1)(V\cdot\nabla)V](y,s+n)dyds=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the limit $n\to\infty$ in this equation, using the fact (2.8), $\int_0^1\xi_s(s)ds=0$ and $\int_0^1\xi(s)ds\neq 0$, we find that $\bar{V}\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[\alpha\bar{V}+(y\cdot\nabla)\bar{V}+(\alpha+1)(\bar{V}\cdot\nabla)\bar{V}]\cdot\phi dy=0
$$

for all $\phi\in C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with div $\phi=0$. Hence, there exists a scalar function $\bar{P}$ such that

$$
\alpha\bar{V}+(y\cdot\nabla)\bar{V}+(\alpha+1)(\bar{V}\cdot\nabla)\bar{V}=-\nabla\bar{P}. \tag{2.9}
$$

On the other hand, we can pass $s \to \infty$ directly in the second equation of (E_1) to have

$$\operatorname{div} \bar{V} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\bar{V}$ is a classical solution of (2.9)-(2.10), and curl $\bar{V} = \bar{\Omega}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1 of [3] by our hypothesis, we can deduce that $\bar{\Omega} = 0$ by that theorem. Hence, (2.7) implies that $\lim_{s\to\infty} \|\Omega(s)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} = 0$. Thus, for $\eta > 0$ given in Theorem 1.1, there exists $s_1 > 0$ such that $\|\Omega(s_1)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \eta$. Let us set $t_1 = T[1 - e^{(\alpha+1)s_1}]$. Going back to the original physical variables, we have

$$(T - t_1)\|\omega(t_1)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \eta.$$

Applying Theorem 1.1, we conclude the proof. □

3 Proofs for the Navier-Stokes equations

Proof of Theorem 1.3 In the case $p = 3$, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from the result of the small data global existence in $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$, proved by Kato([17]). Below we concentrate on the case $p \in (3, \infty)$. We recall the following result for the blow-up rate estimate essentially obtained by Leray(pp.227,[20]): Suppose $v \in C([0,T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $p \in (3,\infty)$, is a local in time classical solution to (NS). Then, we have

$$\limsup_{t\nearrow T} \|v(t)\|_{L^p} = \infty \Rightarrow \|v(t)\|_{L^p} \geq \frac{K}{(T-t)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}}} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$ with a constant $K = K(p)$ independent of T and t.

Let κ be the supremum of the constant K in (3.1). Then, choosing $\eta = \kappa/2$, and taking the contraposition of the statement, we deduce the following: If there exists $t_1 \in [0, T)$ such that $(T - t_1)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \|v(t_1)\|_{L^p} < \eta$, then $\limsup_{t\nearrow T} \|v(t)\|_{L^p} < \infty$. Now the condition (1.4) implies that there exists really such t_1, and hence we have $\sup_{0<t<T} \|v(t)\|_{L^p} < \infty$. Applying the local existence result in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ due to Kato([17]) with the initial data $v(\cdot, T - \varepsilon) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we can extend the solution to be $v \in C([0, T + \delta); L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for some $\delta > 0$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2 Similarly to the proof of Corollary 1.1, we just observe that $(T - t)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}} \|v(t)\|_{L^p} = \|\bar{V}\|_{L^p}$ for all $t \in (0, T)$. Hence, our smallness condition, $\|\bar{V}\|_{L^p} < \eta$, implies that $\inf_{0<t<T}(T-t)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}}\|v(t)\|_{L^p} < \eta$. Applying Theorem 1.3, we conclude our proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2 we change variables from $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T)$ into $(y,s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty)$ as follows.

$$y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad s = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{T}{T-t}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Based on this change of variables, we transform $(v,p) \mapsto (V,P)$ according to

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}}V(y,s), \quad p(x,t) = \frac{1}{T-t}P(y,s). \tag{3.3}$$

Substituting (v,p) into the (E) we obtain the following equivalent evolution equations for (V,P),

$$(NS_1)\begin{cases} V_s + V + (y\cdot\nabla)V + 2(V\cdot\nabla)V = -\nabla P + 2\Delta V, \\ \operatorname{div} V = 0, \\ V(y,0) = V_0(y) = \sqrt{T}v_0(\sqrt{T}y). \end{cases}$$

In terms of V the condition (1.5) is translated into

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\|V(\cdot,s) - \bar{V}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Using this convergence, we can pass to the limit $s \to \infty$ in the weak formulation of (NS_1), as is done in [16], which is also an obvious modification of the step described in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Thus, we find that $\bar{V}$ is a weak solution of the following stationary Leray system([20]),

$$\begin{cases} \bar{V} + (y\cdot\nabla)\bar{V} + 2(\bar{V}\cdot\nabla)\bar{V} = -\nabla\bar{P} + 2\Delta\bar{V}, \\ \operatorname{div}\,\bar{V} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\bar{V} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by hypothesis, thanks to the results in [25, 34](specifically, we use the result of [25] for $p=3$, while we use result of [34] for $p>3$), we can deduce that $\bar{V}=0$. Hence, (3.4) implies that $\lim_{s\to\infty}\|V(s)\|_{L^p} = 0$ and, for $\eta > 0$ given in Theorem 1.3, there exists $s_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|V(s_1)\|_{L^p} < \eta. \tag{3.6}$$

Let us set $t_1 = T[1-e^{2s_1}]$. Going back to the original physical variables, we can rewrite (3.6) as

$$(T-t_1)^{\frac{p-3}{2p}}\|v(t_1)\|_{L^p} < \eta.$$

Applying Theorem 1.3, we conclude the proof. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.5 we recall the following recent result due to Gustafson, Kang and Tsai(Theorem 1.1,[31]), which we state a part of the theorem in an easily applicable form for our purpose. For the statement of the theorem we recall that a suitable weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations is a pair (v,p), satisfying the equations in (NS) in the sense of distribution, and satisfying the generalized energy inequality. For more precise definition and its global in time construction we refer [2](see also [21] for a refined definition, regarding the integrability of pressure).

Theorem 3.1 *Let $q \in (3/2, \infty)$. Suppose v is a suitable weak solution of (NS) in a cylinder, say $Q = B(z, r_1) \times (t - r_1^2, T)$ for some $r_1 > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $\eta = \eta(q) >$ such that if*

$$\limsup_{r\searrow 0}\left\{ r^{\frac{q-3}{q}} \operatorname{ess}\sup_{t-r^2<\tau<t} \|v(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,r))}\right\} \le \eta, \tag{3.7}$$

then v is Hölder continuous both in space and time variables near (z,t).

Proof of Theorem 1.5 We first claim that in the case $q \in [3,\infty)$ the condition (1.6) for some $R \in (0,\infty)$ is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t\nearrow T}(T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}}\sup_{t<\tau<T}\left\| v(\cdot,\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-\tau}}\bar{V}\left(\frac{\cdot - z}{\sqrt{T-\tau}}\right)\right\|_{L^q(B(z,R\sqrt{T-t}))} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

for all $R \in (0,\infty)$. Indeed, suppose $R_1 \le R_2$ be given, then setting

$$f(x,\tau) := v(x,\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-\tau}}\bar{V}\left(\frac{x-z}{\sqrt{T-\tau}}\right),$$

we have an obvious inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\nearrow T}(T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}}&\sup_{t<\tau<T}\|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_1\sqrt{T-t}))}\\ &\le \limsup_{t\nearrow T}(T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}}\sup_{t<\tau<T}\|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_2\sqrt{T-t}))}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, let us suppose $R_2 \geq R_1$. Then, we observe the inclusion relation,

$$B(z, R_2\sqrt{T-t_2}\,) \subset B(z, R_1\sqrt{T-t_1}\,) \quad \text{if} \quad t_2 \geq T - \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^2 (T-t_1). \tag{3.10}$$

Since $T - \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^2 (T-t_1) \geq t_1$ for $R_2 \geq R_1$, we also have $t_2 \geq t_1$. Hence, given $t_1 \in (0,T)$ and $t_2 \in (t_1, T)$ satisfying (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T-t_2)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} &\sup_{t_2<\tau<T} \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_2\sqrt{T-t_2}\,))} \\ &\leq (T-t_1)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t_1<\tau<T} \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_1\sqrt{T-t_1}\,))} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

if $q \geq 3$. Taking $\limsup_{t_2 \nearrow T}$ in the left hand side of (3.11), and then taking $\limsup_{t_1 \nearrow T}$ in the right hand side of it, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t \nearrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} &\sup_{t<\tau<T} \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_2\sqrt{T-t}\,))} \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \nearrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t<\tau<T} \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_1\sqrt{T-t}\,))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t \nearrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} &\sup_{t<\tau<T} \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_2\sqrt{T-t}\,))} \\ &= \limsup_{t \nearrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}} \sup_{t<\tau<T} \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R_1\sqrt{T-t}\,))} \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$ if $q \geq 3$, and our claim is proved. Hence, for all $q \in [2, \infty)$ either the condition (i), or condition (ii) of Theorem 1.5 implies that (1.6) holds for all $R > 0$. As previously we change variables from $(x,t) \in B(z, R\sqrt{T-t}\,) \times [0,T)$ into $(y,s) \in B(0,R) \times [0,\infty)$ as follows.

$$y = \frac{x-z}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad s = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{T}{T-t}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

Based on this change of variables, we transform $v \mapsto V$ according to

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} V(y,s). \tag{3.14}$$

Then, (1.6) is written as

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \|V(\cdot,s)-\bar{V}\|_{L^q(B(0,R))}=0, \tag{3.15}$$

which holds for all $R\in(0,\infty)$ by the above claim. Following exactly the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we can conclude that $\bar{V}$ is a weak solution of the Leray system (3.5). We note here that $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ convergence with $q\in[2,\infty)$ is enough to show that $\bar{V}$ a weak solution of the Leray system. On the other hand, by hypothesis $\bar{V}\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $p\in[3,\infty)$, hence $\bar{V}=0$ by the results of [25, 34]. Therefore, (1.6) is reduced to

$$\lim_{t\nearrow T}(T-t)^{\frac{q-3}{2q}}\sup_{t<\tau<T}\|v(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,R\sqrt{T-t}))}=0 \tag{3.16}$$

for all $R\in(0,\infty)$. We set $R=1$ and $\sqrt{T-t}=r$ in (3.16), which becomes

$$\lim_{r\searrow 0}\left\{r^{\frac{q-3}{q}}\sup_{T-r^2<\tau<T}\|v(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^q(B(z,r))}\right\}=0. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank to Professor Tai-Peng Tsai for helpful discussion.

References

[1] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **94**, (1984), pp. 61-66.

[2] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **35**, (1982), pp.771-831.

[3] D. Chae, *Nonexistence of self-similar singularities for the 3D incompressible Euler equations,* arXiv-preprint, math.AP/0601060.

[4] D. Chae, *A note on 'Nonexistence of self-similar singularities for the 3D incompressible Euler equations'*, arXiv-preprint, math.AP/0601661.

[5] D. Chae, *Local Existence and Blow-up Criterion for the Euler Equations in the Besov Spaces*, Asymp. Anal., **38**, no. 3-4, (2004), pp. 339-358.

[6] D. Chae, *On the Euler Equations in the Critical Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **170**, no. 3, (2003), pp.185-210.

[7] D. Chae, *Remarks on the blow-up of the Euler equations and the related equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **245**, no. 3, (2003), 539-550.

[8] J. Y. Chemin, *Perfect incompressible fluids,* Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1998).

[9] P. Constantin, *Geometric Statistics in Turbulence*, SIAM Rev.,**36**, (1994), pp. 73-98.

[10] P. Constantin, *A few results and open problems regarding incompressible fluids,* Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **42**, no. 6, (1995), pp. 658-663.

[11] P. Constantin, C. Fefferman and A. Majda, *Geometric constraints on potential singularity formulation in the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. P.D.E, **21**, (3-4), (1996), pp. 559-571.

[12] P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes Equations,* Chicago Lectures in Mathematics Series, Univ. Chicago Press (1988).

[13] L. Escauriaza, G. Seregin and V. Sverak, $L^{3,\infty}$*-solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness,* Rus. Math. Surveys, **58**, (2003), pp. 211-250.

[14] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn, *Asymptotically Self-Similar Blow-up of Semilinear Heat Equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38**, (1985), pp. 297-319.

[15] J. M. Greene and R. B. Pelz, *Stability of postulated, self-similar, hydrodynamic blowup solutions*, Phys. Rev. E, **62**, no. 6, pp. 7982-7986.

[16] T. Y. Hou and R. Li, *Nonexistence of Local Self-Similar Blow-up for the 3D Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations,* arXiv-preprint, math.AP/0603126.

[17] T. Kato, *Strong* L^p *solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in* $\mathbb{R}^m$ *with applications to weak solutions,* Math. Zeit., **187**, (1984), pp. 471-480.

[18] R. Kerr, *Evidence for a singularity of the 3-dimensional, incompressible Euler equations,* Phys. Fluids A, **5**, (1993), pp. 1725-1746.

[19] O. A. Ladyzenskaya, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow,* Gordon and Breach, (1969).

[20] J. Leray, *Essai sur le mouvement d'un fluide visqueux emplissant l'espace,* Acta Math. **63** (1934), pp. 193-248.

[21] F. Lin, *A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **51**, no. 3, (1998), pp. 241-257.

[22] A. Majda, *Vorticity and the mathematical theory of incompressible fluid flow,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **39**, (1986), pp. 187-220.

[23] A. Majda and A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow,* Cambridge Univ. Press. (2002).

[24] J. R. Miller, M. O'Leary and M. Schonbek, *Nonexistence of singular pseudo-self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes system,* Math. Ann. **319**, (2001), no. 4, pp. 809-815.

[25] J. Necas, M. Ruzicka and V. Sverak, *On Leray's self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations,* Acta Math. **176**, no. 2, (1996), pp. 283-294.

[26] T. Okyama, *Interior regularity of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation,* Proc. Japan Acad. **36**, (1960), pp.

[27] H. C. Pak, *Existence of solution for the Euler equations in a critical Besov space $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,* Comm. P.D.E., **29**, pp. 1149-1166.

[28] R. Pelz, *Symmetry and hydrodynamic blow-up problem*, J. Fluid Mech., **444**, pp. 299-320.

[29] G. Prodi, *Un Teorema di Uniciá per le Equazionni di Navier-Stokes,* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **48**, no. 4, (1959), pp. 1773-182.

[30] J. Serrin, *On the Interior Regularity of Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **9**, (1962), pp.187-191.

[31] S. Gustafson, K. Kang and T-P. Tsai, *Regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary,* to appear in J. Diff. Eqns, (available online).

[32] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations,* 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1986).

[33] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkäuser Verlag, Boston, (1983).

[34] T-P. Tsai, *On Leray's self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations satisfying local energy estimates,* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **143**, no. 1, (1998), pp. 29-51.

[35] M. Vishik, *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces,* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal, **145**, (1998), pp. 197-214.